

BMJ Paediatrics Open

BMJ Paediatrics Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Paediatrics Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjpaedsopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Paediatrics Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjpo@bmj.com

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Economic Sanctions on Countries are Indiscriminate Weapons and should be Banned

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjpo-2021-001350 |
| Article Type: | Editorial |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 17-Nov-2021 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Choonara, Imti; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Bonati, Maurizio; Mario Negri Institute for Pharmacological Research, Department of Public Health, Laboratory for Mother and Child Health Jonas, Paul; Departement of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, |
| Keywords: | Health services research |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Economic Sanctions on Countries are Indiscriminate Weapons and should be Banned

Imti Choonara¹

Maurizio Bonati²

Paul Jonas³

1 Academic Division of Child Health, University of Nottingham, Derbyshire Children's Hospital, Derby, UK

2 Department of Public Health, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri – IRCCS, Milan, Italy

3 Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands.

Economic sanctions are usually applied by governments or the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) to individuals, companies or countries [1]. Such sanctions may be appropriate when applied to individuals or companies. Economic sanctions on countries, however, cannot be justified in any circumstances. There is overwhelming evidence of the adverse effects of economic sanctions on both the health and nutrition of the civilian population [2]. It is usually the most disadvantaged sections of society, who experience the greatest harm.

Economic sanctions are the modern equivalent of a siege. Sieges have usually been applied to cities, eg Sarajevo and Aleppo, whereas economic sanctions are applied to countries. The effects of both sieges and sanctions are similar: depriving civilians of access to basic services as well as food and medicines. The UNSC has unanimously adopted resolutions demanding the lifting of sieges [3] and stating that the use of such sieges against civilian populations in Syria were illegal under international humanitarian law [4]. The devastating impact of sieges on health, medical services and access to food and other basic services have been highlighted by researchers [5,6]. It is unfortunate that the global superpowers that dominate the UNSC recognise the indiscriminate impact of sieges on civilians, but not economic sanctions.

Economists have studied the effect of economic sanctions on 98 countries over a period of 35 years [2]. Their results suggest that UN sanctions reduce life expectancy by 1.2 to 1.4 years and that sanctions by the USA reduce life expectancy by 0.4 to 0.5 years [2]. Their work suggests that an increase in child mortality and deaths due to cholera alongside decreased spending on public health were the main reasons for the decreased life expectancy [2]. They also identified that women were more severely affected by sanctions. They have previously demonstrated that economic sanctions by the USA increase poverty among the poorest people [7].

The devastating effect of economic sanctions by the UNSC on child health have been extensively reported for Iraq. Infant mortality increased from 47 to 108 per 1000 live births and under 5 mortality increased from 56 to 131 per 1000 live births, following the imposition of economic sanctions in 1990 [8]. One group have estimated that 567,000 children died as a result of the economic sanctions [9]. Nutritional problems, an increase in infectious diseases and an increase in low birth weight were all reported [10].

Iran has experienced economic sanctions by both the UNSC and the USA. The UNSC lifted their economic sanctions in January 2016 and the USA reimposed their economic sanctions in November 2018. The availability of medicines in Iran was significantly reduced during the UNSC sanctions [11]. Concern was raised that publishers of medical journals were refusing to consider papers from Iran in case they were in breach of US sanctions [12]. A systematic review identified 55 papers documenting the adverse health effects of sanction on the population of Iran [13].

The impact of economic sanctions on the most disadvantaged is highlighted by a review of the impact of economic sanctions on 71 countries from 1990 to 2012 and HIV in children [14]. AIDS-related death rates increased by approximately 1% in children with HIV and new infection rates increased by 2.5% [14].

The USA has imposed economic sanctions on more countries than any other country [7]. One country in particular, Cuba, has experienced economic sanctions by the USA for 60 years. The sanctions are comprehensive (including food, medicines and medical equipment) and has extraterritorial components which effectively make it difficult for other countries to trade with Cuba. These extraterritorial components have been declared illegal by the British Government. In January 2019, the Conservative Minister for Europe and the Americas stated in the House of Commons “that under the UK Protection of Trading Interests Act 1980, it is illegal for UK companies to comply with extraterritorial legislation such as the US embargo.” [15] The European Union has also stated that the extraterritorial aspects of the US sanctions are illegal. [16] Each year the UN General Assembly votes overwhelmingly for the sanctions to be lifted.

A comprehensive report by Oxfam details the impact of the US sanctions on Cuba [17]. It highlights difficulties in obtaining basic medical supplies such as syringes, masks, ventilators, medicines as well as the raw materials needed to produce vaccines, medicines and sanitary hygiene products [17]. Shortages of food and other basic products affect the most vulnerable in Cuba [17]. Even collaboration between Cuban and American scientists has been blocked by the US sanctions [18]. President Obama and others have recognised that the sanctions against Cuba were ineffective [19,20]. Unfortunately, both President Trump and Biden have expanded the sanctions. American health professionals have called for the lifting of sanctions on Cuba [21]. The impact of the sanctions has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic [22,23].

The legality of economic sanctions on countries has been questioned [24, 25]. The use of indiscriminate weapons on a civilian population is recognised as unlawful. The Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949 was an attempt to protect civilians from harm during wars [26]. Subsequent protocols adopted in 1977 tried to ensure greater protection for civilians [26]. As health professionals, we are concerned about the indiscriminate effect of economic sanctions on countries on the human rights and health of civilians

We call on the International Committee of the Red Cross to work with health professionals and their organisations, and other relevant parties to amend the Geneva Convention by adopting a new protocol recognising that:

- (1) extraterritorial economic sanctions are illegal under international law and should be banned immediately and
- (2) economic sanctions on a country are inhumane and should be banned as soon as possible.

References

1. Choonara I. Economic sanctions and child health. *Medicine, Conflict and Survival* 2013;29:93-98
2. Gutmann J, Neuenkirch M & Neumeier F. Sanctioned to Death? The Impact of Economic Sanctions on Life Expectancy and its Gender Gap, *The Journal of Development Studies*, 2021: 57:1, 139-162, DOI: [10.1080/00220388.2020.1746277](https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2020.1746277)
3. United Nations. Security Council Unanimously Adopts Resolution 2139 (2013) to Ease Aid Delivery to Syrians, Provide Relief from 'Chilling Darkness' <https://www.un.org/press/en/2014/sc11292.doc.htm> (accessed 17 Nov 2021)
4. United Nations Security Council. Resolution 2401. The situation in the Middle East 24 February 2018 <http://unscr.com/en/resolutions/2401> (accessed 17 Nov 2021)
5. Nijs M. Humanizing siege warfare: Applying the principle of proportionality to sieges. *International Review of the Red Cross* 2021.doi:10.1017/S1816383121000680
6. Pretto EA, Begovic, M, Begovic M. Emergency Medical Services During the Siege of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: A Preliminary Report. *Pre-hospital and Disaster Medicine* 1994;9(2):S39-S45
7. Neuenkirch M & Neumeier F. The impact of US sanctions on poverty. *Journal of Development Economics*. 2016;121: 110-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2016.03.005>
8. Ali MM & Shah IH. Sanctions and childhood mortality in Iraq. *Lancet*. 2000;355; 1851-1857
9. Zaidi S & Smith Fawzi MC. Health of Bagdad's children. *Lancet* 1995;346;1485
10. Al-Nouri L & Al-Rahim Q. The effect of sanctions on children of Iraq. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;88:92
11. Kheirandish M, Varahrami V, Kebriaeezade A, Cheraghali AM. Impact of economic sanctions on access to noncommunicable diseases medicines in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal* 2018;24(1):42e51
12. Arie S. Unintended consequences of sanctions against Iran. *BMJ* 2013;347:f4650
13. Kokabisaghi F. Assessment of the Effects of Economic Sanctions on Iranians' Right to Health by Using Human Rights Impact Assessment Tool: A Systematic Review. *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2018 May 1;7(5):374-393. doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2017.147. PMID: 29764102; PMCID: PMC5953521.
14. Kim, Y. Economic sanctions and child HIV. *Int J Health Plann Mgmt*. 2019; 34: 693– 700. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2727>
15. Duncan A. Hansard (<https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2019-01-22/debates/BD354790-EEFF-4939-95DF-DAE62201765/USTradeRestrictionsOnCuba?highlight=cuba#contribution-B174BF17-BF0E-49A8-88E5-154BCC232CF3>) (accessed 31/10/2021)
16. European Union. Statement by the High Representative/Vice-President Josep Borrell on US sanctions (https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage_en/83105/Statement%20by%20the%20High%20Representative/Vice-President%20Josep%20Borrell%20on%20US%20sanctions) (accessed 17 November 2021)
17. Oxfam. Right to Live without a Blockade. 2021 (<https://www.oxfamamerica.org/explore/research-publications/right-to-live-without-a-blockade/>) (accessed 07/11/2021)
18. Lenzer J. US researchers blocked from testing Cuban drug. *BMJ* 2016;352:i1642
19. Sabatini C. US-Cuba sanctions: are they working yet? Chatham House 2020. (<https://www.chathamhouse.org/2020/08/us-cuba-sanctions-are-they-working-yet>) (accessed 07/11/2021)

20. WTO , "Can Economic Sanctions be Effective?", *WTO Working Papers*,2018; No. 2018/03, WTO, Geneva, <https://doi.org/10.30875/0b967ac6-en>.

21. Drain PK. Implications of Repealing the Cuban Embargo for US Medicine and Public Health. *Am J Public Health* 2015;105;2210-221

22.The War on Cuba Part 5 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WavOrU-g2E4>) (accessed 17 November 2021)

23. Sanctions in a Pandemic. Part 1. (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xG5nMBI5RZg>) (accessed 17 November 2021)

24 Morin K & Miles SH for the Ethics and Human Rights Committee.The Health Effects of Economic Sanctions and Embargoes: The Role of Health Professionals. *Ann Intern Med*;2000;132:158-161.<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-132-2-200001180-00013>

25. Mohamad R. (2015) Unilateral Sanctions in International Law: A Quest for Legality. In: Marossi A., Bassett M. (eds) *Economic Sanctions under International Law*. T.M.C. Asser Press, The Hague. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-051-0_4

26. International Committee of the Red Cross (<https://www.icrc.org/en/doc/war-and-law/treaties-customary-law/geneva-conventions/overview-geneva-conventions.htm>) (accessed 07/11/2021)

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Paediatrics Open and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

BMJ Paediatrics Open

Economic Sanctions on Countries are Indiscriminate Weapons and should be Banned

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Journal: | <i>BMJ Paediatrics Open</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bmjpo-2021-001350.R1 |
| Article Type: | Editorial |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 24-Nov-2021 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Choonara, Imti; University of Nottingham School of Medicine, Bonati, Maurizio; Mario Negri Institute for Pharmacological Research, Department of Public Health, Laboratory for Mother and Child Health Jonas, Paul; Departement of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, |
| Keywords: | Health services research |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Economic Sanctions on Countries are Indiscriminate Weapons and should be Banned

Imti Choonara¹

Maurizio Bonati²

Paul Jonas³

1 Academic Division of Child Health, University of Nottingham, Derbyshire Children's Hospital, Derby, UK

2 Department of Public Health, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri – IRCCS, Milan, Italy

3 Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, the Netherlands.

Economic sanctions are usually applied by governments or the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) to individuals, companies or countries [1]. Such sanctions may be appropriate when applied to individuals or companies. Economic sanctions on countries, however, cannot be justified in any circumstances. There is overwhelming evidence of the adverse effects of economic sanctions on both the health and nutrition of the civilian population [2]. It is usually the most disadvantaged sections of society, who experience the greatest harm.

Economic sanctions are the modern equivalent of a siege. Sieges have usually been applied to cities, eg Sarajevo and Aleppo, whereas economic sanctions are applied to countries. The effects of both sieges and sanctions are similar: depriving civilians of access to basic services as well as food and medicines. The UNSC has unanimously adopted resolutions demanding the lifting of sieges [3] and stating that the use of such sieges against civilian populations in Syria were illegal under international humanitarian law [4]. The devastating impact of sieges on health, medical services and access to food and other basic services have been highlighted by researchers [5,6]. It is unfortunate that the global superpowers that dominate the UNSC recognise the indiscriminate impact of sieges on civilians, but not economic sanctions.

Economists have studied the effect of economic sanctions on 98 countries over a period of 35 years [2]. Their results suggest that UN sanctions reduce life expectancy by 1.2 to 1.4 years and that sanctions by the USA reduce life expectancy by 0.4 to 0.5 years [2]. Their work suggests that an increase in child mortality and deaths due to cholera alongside decreased spending on public health were the main reasons for the decreased life expectancy [2]. They also identified that women were more severely affected by sanctions. They have previously demonstrated that economic sanctions by the USA increase poverty among the poorest people [7]. Economic sanctions are usually applied by rich countries on poorer countries and this is likely to result in increased inequalities.

The devastating effect of economic sanctions by the UNSC on child health have been extensively reported for Iraq. Infant mortality increased from 47 to 108 per 1000 live births and under 5 mortality increased from 56 to 131 per 1000 live births, following the imposition of economic sanctions in 1990 [8]. One group have estimated that 567,000 children died as a result of the

economic sanctions [9]. Nutritional problems, an increase in infectious diseases and an increase in low birth weight were all reported [10].

Iran has experienced economic sanctions by both the UNSC and the USA. The UNSC lifted their economic sanctions in January 2016 and the USA reimposed their economic sanctions in November 2018. The availability of medicines in Iran was significantly reduced during the UNSC sanctions [11]. Concern was raised that publishers of medical journals were refusing to consider papers from Iran in case they were in breach of US sanctions [12]. A systematic review identified 55 papers documenting the adverse health effects of sanction on the population of Iran [13].

The impact of economic sanctions on the most disadvantaged is highlighted by a review of the impact of economic sanctions on 71 countries from 1990 to 2012 and HIV in children [14]. AIDS-related death rates increased by approximately 1% in children with HIV and new infection rates increased by 2.5% [14].

The USA has imposed economic sanctions on more countries than any other country [7]. One country in particular, Cuba, has experienced economic sanctions by the USA for 60 years. The sanctions are comprehensive (including food, medicines and medical equipment) and has extraterritorial components which effectively make it difficult for other countries to trade with Cuba. These extraterritorial components have been declared illegal by the British Government. In January 2019, the Conservative Minister for Europe and the Americas stated in the House of Commons “that under the UK Protection of Trading Interests Act 1980, it is illegal for UK companies to comply with extraterritorial legislation such as the US embargo.” [15] The European Union has also stated that the extraterritorial aspects of the US sanctions are illegal. [16] Each year the UN General Assembly votes overwhelmingly for the sanctions to be lifted.

A comprehensive report by Oxfam details the impact of the US sanctions on Cuba [17]. It highlights difficulties in obtaining basic medical supplies such as syringes, masks, ventilators, medicines as well as the raw materials needed to produce vaccines, medicines and sanitary hygiene products [17]. Shortages of food and other basic products affect the most vulnerable in Cuba and the tightening of the sanctions has increased inequalities in Cuba [17]. Even collaboration between Cuban and American scientists has been blocked by the US sanctions [18]. President Obama and others have recognised that the sanctions against Cuba were ineffective [19,20]. Unfortunately, both President Trump and Biden have expanded the sanctions. American health professionals have called for the lifting of sanctions on Cuba [21]. The impact of the sanctions has been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic [22,23].

The legality of economic sanctions on countries has been questioned [24, 25]. The use of indiscriminate weapons on a civilian population is recognised as unlawful. The Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949 was an attempt to protect civilians from harm during wars [26]. Subsequent protocols adopted in 1977 tried to ensure greater protection for civilians [26]. As health professionals, we are concerned about the indiscriminate effect of economic sanctions on countries on the human rights and health of civilians.

Economic sanctions on countries are inconsistent with the UN Convention on the Rights of Children and in particular articles 24,26, and 28 which concern the rights of children to access healthcare, social welfare and education [27]. Every nation has ratified the Convention, with the exception of the United States of America. We call on paediatric professional organisations of member states of the UN Security Council to lobby their governments to recognise that economic sanctions do more

harm than good on children in poor countries. The American Academy of Pediatrics and the Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (UK) should take the lead on this issue and issue statements calling on their governments and the UN to stop using economic sanctions on countries.

We call on the International Committee of the Red Cross to work with health professionals and their organisations, and other relevant parties to amend the Geneva Convention by adopting a new protocol recognising that:

(1) extraterritorial economic sanctions are illegal under international law and should be banned immediately and

(2) economic sanctions on a country are inhumane and should be banned as soon as possible.

References

1. Choonara I. Economic sanctions and child health. *Medicine, Conflict and Survival* 2013;29:93-98
2. Gutmann J, Neuenkirch M & Neumeier F. Sanctioned to Death? The Impact of Economic Sanctions on Life Expectancy and its Gender Gap, *The Journal of Development Studies*, 2021: 57:1, 139-162, DOI: [10.1080/00220388.2020.1746277](https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2020.1746277)
3. United Nations. Security Council Unanimously Adopts Resolution 2139 (2013) to Ease Aid Delivery to Syrians, Provide Relief from 'Chilling Darkness' <https://www.un.org/press/en/2014/sc11292.doc.htm> (accessed 17 Nov 2021)
4. United Nations Security Council. [Resolution 2401](https://www.un.org/press/en/2018/res2401.doc.htm). The situation in the Middle East 24 February 2018 <http://unscr.com/en/resolutions/2401> (accessed 17 Nov 2021)
5. Nijs M. Humanizing siege warfare: Applying the principle of proportionality to sieges. *International Review of the Red Cross* 2021.doi:10.1017/S1816383121000680
6. Pretto EA, Begovic, M, Begovic M. Emergency Medical Services During the Siege of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina: A Preliminary Report. *Pre-hospital and Disaster Medicine* 1994;9(2):S39-S45
7. Neuenkirch M & Neumeier F. The impact of US sanctions on poverty. *Journal of Development Economics*. 2016;121: 110-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2016.03.005>
8. Ali MM & Shah IH. Sanctions and childhood mortality in Iraq. *Lancet*. 2000;355: 1851-1857
9. Zaidi S & Smith Fawzi MC. Health of Bagdad's children. *Lancet* 1995;346:1485
10. Al-Nouri L & Al-Rahim Q. The effect of sanctions on children of Iraq. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;88:92
11. Kheirandish M, Varahrami V, Kebriaeezade A, Cheraghali AM. Impact of economic sanctions on access to noncommunicable diseases medicines in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Eastern Mediterranean health journal* 2018;24(1):42e51
12. Arie S. Unintended consequences of sanctions against Iran. *BMJ* 2013;347:f4650
13. Kokabisaghi F. Assessment of the Effects of Economic Sanctions on Iranians' Right to Health by Using Human Rights Impact Assessment Tool: A Systematic Review. *Int J Health Policy Manag*. 2018 May 1;7(5):374-393. doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2017.147. PMID: 29764102; PMCID: PMC5953521.

14. Kim, Y. Economic sanctions and child HIV. *Int J Health Plann Mgmt*. 2019; 34: 693– 700.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2727>
15. Duncan A. Hansard (<https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2019-01-22/debates/BD354790-EEFF-4939-95DF-DAE62201765/USTradeRestrictionsOnCuba?highlight=cuba#contribution-B174BF17-BF0E-49A8-88E5-154BCC232CF3>) (accessed 31/10/2021)
- 16 European Union. Statement by the High Representative/Vice-President Josep Borrell on US sanctions (<https://eeas.europa.eu/headquarters/headquarters-homepage/en/83105/Statement%20by%20the%20High%20Representative/Vice-President%20Josep%20Borrell%20on%20US%20sanctions>) (accessed 17 November 2021)
17. Oxfam. Right to Live without a Blockade. 2021
(<https://www.oxfamamerica.org/explore/research-publications/right-to-live-without-a-blockade/>) (accessed 07/11/2021)
18. Lenzer J. US researchers blocked from testing Cuban drug. *BMJ* 2016;352:i1642
19. Sabatini C. US-Cuba sanctions: are they working yet? Chatham House 2020.
(<https://www.chathamhouse.org/2020/08/us-cuba-sanctions-are-they-working-yet>) (accessed 07/11/2021)
20. WTO , "Can Economic Sanctions be Effective?", *WTO Working Papers*,2018; No. 2018/03, WTO, Geneva, <https://doi.org/10.30875/0b967ac6-en>.
21. Drain PK. Implications of Repealing the Cuban Embargo for US Medicine and Public Health. *Am J Public Health* 2015;105:2210-221
- 22.The War on Cuba Part 5 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WavOrU-g2E4>) (accessed 17 November 2021)
23. Sanctions in a Pandemic. Part 1. (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xG5nMBI5RZg>) (accessed 17 November 2021)
- 24 Morin K & Miles SH for the Ethics and Human Rights Committee.The Health Effects of Economic Sanctions and Embargoes: The Role of Health Professionals. *Ann Intern Med*;2000;132:158-161.<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-132-2-200001180-00013>
25. Mohamad R. (2015) Unilateral Sanctions in International Law: A Quest for Legality. In: Marossi A., Bassett M. (eds) *Economic Sanctions under International Law*. T.M.C. Asser Press, The Hague.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-051-0_4
26. International Committee of the Red Cross (<https://www.icrc.org/en/doc/war-and-law/treaties-customary-law/geneva-conventions/overview-geneva-conventions.htm>) (accessed 07/11/2021)
27. United Nations. Convention on the Rights of the Child. (https://www.unicef.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2010/05/UNCRC_united_nations_convention_on_the_rights_of_the_child.pdf) (accessed 24 November 2021)

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for

1
2
3 contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY
4 licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government
5 officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable,
6 royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is
7 co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Paediatrics Open and
8 any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Confidential: For Review Only